

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 HALO ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Case No.: 2:07-cv-00331-APG-PAL

4 Plaintiff

**Order**

5 v.

6 BEL FUSE INC., et al.,

7 Defendants

8 This case was recently remanded from the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal  
9 Circuit. ECF Nos. 654-56, 658. I directed the parties to confer on how the case should proceed  
10 and to file either a stipulation if they can agree or a status report setting out their respective  
11 positions if they could not agree. ECF No. 659. The parties have filed a status report. ECF No.  
12 660.

13 I am inclined to dismiss the remainder of this case under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
14 41(b) for failure to prosecute. But before doing so, I will give the plaintiff an opportunity to  
15 address the relevant factors. *See Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that by August 12, 2022, the plaintiff shall show cause why I  
17 should not dismiss for failure to prosecute its requests for pre-judgment interest and for a trial on  
18 damages for sales transactions excluded in prior rulings. The defendants may file a response by  
19 August 26, 2022. The plaintiff may file a reply by September 2, 2022.

20 DATED this 27th day of July, 2022.

21 

22 ANDREW P. GORDON  
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE